



Simona Ilie¹ Research Institute for Quality of Life Romanian Academy

Source: Social Innovation online Journalno. 1/2017 StableURL: <u>http://www.inovatiasociala.ro/en/self-employment-as-failure-on-the-labour-market/</u> Published by: Research Institute for Quality of Life

Institutul de Cerc

The Social Inovation online Journal is an online journal created as part of theproject "Social Innovation – Factor of social-economic development", coordinated by Prof.dr. Cătălin Zamfir at the Research Institute for Quality of Life. This journal has an open access policy, based on the principle that open research increases the global knowledge exchange. Social Innovation is an online journal that is accessible during the writing process and that readers can write themselves. The journal will have a biannual frequency, without having a particular date of publication, but an interval, during which it is written. In this way, in January-June the first number will be published and in July-December the second. During this time, the online platform will be open to contributions and comments in real time.

By accessing the texts from the Archive of the Social Innovation Journal you accept the terms and conditions of use that are available at <u>http://www.inovatiasociala.ro/</u> which state that papers can be used only for personal, noncommercialuse. Please contact the editorial team for other kinds of use, at<u>contact@inovatiasociala.ro</u>.

Anycopy of the materials available in the Social Innovation online Journal must be accompanied by the same legal rights note, as they appear on the screen or in pdf print.



¹sf_ilie@yahoo.co.uk

Self-employment as failure on the labour market

Simona Ilie

Rezumat:

Munca pe cont propriu pare o opțiune viabilă și promițătoare, pentru individ și societate. Acest text face referire la implicațiile mai puțin așteptate ale muncii pe cont propriu.

Cuvinte cheie: Muncă pe cont propriu, piața muncii, grupuri vulnerabile

Abstract:

Self-employment may seem a viable and promising option, for both individuals and society. This text refers to less expected meanings of self-employment.

Keywords: Self-employment, labour maket, vulnerable groups

Statistically, self-employment (SE) refers to the situation of those who, in a given period of time, have conducted activities for family profit or gain, in cash or in kind. The possible forms of SE are diverse: employers, own account workers, members of producer's cooperative, unpaid/ contributing family workers, or even those producing for themselves, if the result of their work represents a great part of their total consumption (ILO, 1982). Due to the usual small extent of the three former forms of SE in total employment, they are rarely shown separately in statistics. In the present study SE refers to the first two forms mentioned above.

The option for SE seems natural in an economy that has opted for the organization on market principles; it expresses people's freedom, it is a flexible solution for local economy niches, it sets grounds to initiative and creativity, it is an opportunity to increase individual income and for balancing individual's professional and personal life. In some circumstances, higher unemployment rate or economic growth could be accompanied by higher SE (Blanchflower, 2000; Thurik and all, 2008; Halicioglu and Yolac, 2015). However, SE is less widespread than we might expect (Table 1).

	Employment rate			SE, % of the employed population				SE without employees % of total SE				
	15-74	15-24	25-64	65-74	15-74	15-24	25-64	65-74	15-74	15-24	25-64	65-74
UE 28	57.5	32.4	71.4	8.8	15	4.2	15.3	46.5	71.8	88.8	71.1	77.2
Romania	56.3	22.5	68.8	20.2	20.5	10.8	18.9	68.5	94.4	99.5	93.4	99.6

Table 1 Level of employment and the SE specific, age groups, 2014

Source: Eurostat, Ifsa_ergaed și prelucrări după Ifsa_esgais, Ifsa_egaed

The socioeconomic perspective identifies also a wide range of vulnerabilities associated to SE, even in the modern forms of outsourcing/ sub-contracting activities (Böheim and Mühlberger, 2009). Among these, SE is often transient in the occupational trajectory of the individual, and it may adversely affect the income or subsequent paid employment (Bruce and Schuetze, 2004; Hyytinen and Rouvinen, 2008; Andersson, 2011). It has general negative consequences on incomes after exiting SE (Meager, 2007).SE is more likely for those with highest or lowest education levels (Blanchflower, 2000), in men, and at later stages of life(Blanchflower, 2000; Meager, 2007), for those whose fathers have experience with ES (GriloandThurik, 2005; Meager, 2007). The success of SE is conditioned by the appeal to professional, strategic, development, and financial skills (Meager, 2007; EU / OECD, 2015) for continuous adjustment of production/supply in technological development and the economic environment in which it operates. These conditions do not exist a priori widely. This analysis identifies the relationship between SE and some negative phenomena in the European space and also the risk of masking unemployment or underemployment.

Table 2 Population at risk of poverty, 16 and over, %,

		UE_27	Romania	Minimum levels	Maximum levels
	Total	16.4	22.8	Czech R. (8.7)	Romania
2014	OnS	22.8	57.2	Czech R. (7.1)	Romania; level right under Portugal (~30)

Source: Eurostat, ilc_li04

Romania has one of the highest SE rate in EU28, low unemployment rates (6.8% compared to 10.2% in EU28, Eurostat/ tsdec450), adding to this a significant category of contributing family workers (CFW). The later has represented, in the latest 3 years, approx. 10% of total employment, decreasing since 2010, compared to only 1.2% the average of the EU28 (Eurostat / lfst_r_lfe2estat). SE in Romania is almost entirely without employees, rather specific to rural areas (33.4%, in the latest 3 years, adding to this approx. 20% the CFW, according to data from Tempo INSSE, 1996-2016), more widely present in poor regions (NE- 53.6%; SV-48.6% vs. Bucharest-Ilfov- 6.6%, Eurostat / lfst_r_lfe2estat), shaping its pronounced negative connotations.

The relative equivalence of the overall employment level in Romania and in theEU28 in the age group of 15-74 years is due to offsetting the lower youth employment with the much higher employment of the older non-standard active group (65-74 years). Romania exceeds by far the European level of SE for both groups (Table 1). In other words, both entry and exit from the Romanian labour market tend to happen later and more than in the European Union space in SE forms others than paid employment.

In conjunction with the size of poverty, Romania is also unique because the poverty risk of Romanians in various SE forms is higher than for the unemployed Europeans (57.2% vs. 47.4%; Table 2). The fact that SE, in its broad definition, is never so severely exposed to the risk of poverty as in Romania, may indicated ifferent motivations that lead to this status or/and the existence of national/European economic or social policies that made entrepreneurial efforts more efficient, thus leading to a higher prevalence of SE with employees.

Table 3 Level of correlation coefficients, UE28, 2014 (yrs = years of age)

	SE rate		
	15-24 yrs	25-74 yrs	
Employment rate 15-24 yrs	526**	369	
Employment rate 25-74 yrs	484 [*]	635**	
Part time underemployment, 15-24 yrs	.633**	.598 ^{**}	

Part time underemployment, 25-74 yrs	.554**	.533**
Temporary underemployment, 15-74 yrs	.457 [*]	.429 [*]
NEET youth 15-24 yrs	.584**	.545**
Share in long term education, 25-64 yrs	420 [*]	353
Risk of poverty rate 16+ yrs (disposable income under 60% of the media at society level)	.434 [*]	.282

Note: statistically significant correlation for trust level 95% (*), respectively 99% (**)

Source: Eurostat, prelucrăridupălfst_r_lfe2estat, lfsa_ergaed, lfsa_etpgan, lfsa_etgar, lfsa_eppga, lfsa_epgar, tsdsc440, edat_lfse_20, ilc_li04

In Europe, the direct relationship (positive correlation) between SE and poverty is statistically valid only for the age group 15-24 years, where the share of SE without employees increases to a level close to that of Romania (Table 3). However, there is a the direct relationship with negative phenomena like underemployment (part time or temporary employment due to not having found full-time/ indefinite duration employment contracts) and the phenomenon of NEET (youth who are neither in education nor on the labour market)which are valid phenomena positively correlated with the risk of poverty (+0.55 or +0.65). The correlation between SE and the rate of enrolment in long term education, as well as the correlation with the general level of employment are negative. For the youth, SE seems to be the answer to the low standard of living in society (being negatively correlated to employment of the non-youth and positively to risk of poverty).

We conclude that in 2014:

- 1. High employment rates were not supported by SE, but rather through paid employment;
- 2. SE is not an indicator of the level of privatization of an economy.

For Romania, interventions seem necessary in the field of regional development to pull up the employment level, more flexibility in paid employment regulation, entrepreneurial and vocational guidance, such as:

- o lowering the age for vocational counselling and contact with various professions;
- o a system (not only courses) for professional (re)qualification in adult life;
- facilities/ encouraging associations for rural production;
- o supporting SE through both entrepreneurial training and business start-up.

References

Andersson L. (2011) Occupational choice and returns to self-employment among immigrants, International Journal of Manpower Vol. 32 No. 8, pp. 900-922

Blanchflower D. (2000) Self-employment in OECD countries, Labour Economics 7, pp. 471–505

Böheim R., Mühlberger U. (2009) Dependent self-employment: workers between employment and self-employment in the UK, ZeitschriftfürArbeitsmarktForschung 42 pp. 182–195

Bruce D., Schuetze H.J. (2004) The labor market consequences of experience in self-employment, Labour Economics 11, pp. 575–559

EU/OECD (2015) Policy Brief on Sustaining Self-employment. Entrepreneurial Activities in Europe

Grilo I., Thurik R. (2005) Entrepreneurial Engagement Levels in the European Union, International Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 3(2), pp. 143-168

Halicioglu F., Yolac S. (2015) Testing the impact of unemployment on self-employment: empirical evidence from OECD countries, Munich Personal RePEc Archive Paper No. 65026 <u>http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/65026/</u>

Hyytinen A., Rouvinen P. (2008). The Labour Market Consequences of Self-Employment Spells: European Evidence. Labour Economics, volume 15, issue 2 (April), pp. 246-271

ILO (1982) Resolution concerning statistics of the economically active population, employment, unemployment and underemployment, adopted by the Thirteenth International Conference of Labour Statisticians, Geneva, http://laborsta.ilo.org/applv8/data/c2e.html

Meager N. (2007) Self-employment Dynamics and 'TransitionalLabourMarkets': Some more UK evidence, Institute for Employment Studies Working Paper: WP12

Thurik A.R., Carree M.A., van Stel A., Audertsch D.B., (2008) Does self-employment reduce unemployment?, Journal of Business Venturing 23(6), nov., pg. 673–686

Eurostat (1999-2015) Employment by age, professional status and NUTS 2 regions (1 000), Code:Ifst_r_lfe2estat,Disponibilhttp://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lfst_r_lfe2estat&lang=en# [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (2006-2015) Employment rates by sex, age and educational attainment level (%). Code:lfsa_ergaed, Disponibil online: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lfsa_ergaed&lang=en [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (2006-2015) Employment by sex, age and educational attainment level (1000). Code:lfsa_egaed, Disponibil online: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lfsa_egaed&lang=en [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (1983-2015) Self-employment by sex, age and occupation (1 000), Code: lfsa_esgais, Disponibil online: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lfsa_esgais&lang=en [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (2006-2015) At-risk-of-poverty rate by poverty threshold and most frequent activity in the previousyear-EU-SILCsurvey,Code:ilc_li04,Disponibilonline:http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=ilc_li04&lang=en [Vizualizat: 09.2016]09.2016]

Eurostat (2006-2015) Temporary employees as percentage of the total number of employees, by sex, age and
citizenshipCode:Ifsa_etpgan,Disponibilonline:http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lfsa_etpgan&lang=en [Vizualizat: 09.2016]09.2016]

Eurostat (2006-2015) Main reason for the temporary employment - Distributions by sex and age (%), Code: Ifsa_etgar, Disponibil online: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lfsa_etgar&lang=en [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (2006-2015) Part-time employment as percentage of the total employment, by sex and age (%), Code: Ifsa_eppga, Disponibil online: http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=lfsa_eppga&lang=en [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (2000-2015) Main reason for part-time employment - Distributions by sex and age (%), Code: Ifsa_epgar, Disponibil online: appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/... [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (1992-2015) Lifelong learning, Code: tsdsc440, Disponibil online: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/table.do?tab=table&plugin=1&language=en&pcode=tsdsc440 [Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (2006-2015) Young people neither in employment nor in education and training by sex, age andlabourstatus(NEETrates),Code:edat_lfse_20,Disponibilonline:http://appsso.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/nui/show.do?dataset=edatlfse_20&lang=en[Vizualizat: 09.2016]

Eurostat (1990-2015) Total unemployment rate, Code: tsdec450, Disponibil online: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/table.do?tab=table&init=1&language=en&pcode=tsdec450&plugin=1</u> [Vizualizat: 11.2016]

Tempo INSSE (1996-2016) Populațiaocupatăpegrupe de vârstă, dupăstatutprofesionalșimedii, cod: AMG1102, Disponibil online: <u>http://statistici.insse.ro/shop/index.jsp?page=tempo3&lang=ro&ind=AMG1102</u> [Vizualizat: 11.2016]